



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. .	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-------------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/756,128

01/13/2004

John William Barrs II

AUS920031019US1

3271

35525

7590

07/05/2006

IBM CORP (YA)
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC
P.O. BOX 802333
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

LIN, SHEW FEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2166

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/756,128

Applicant(s)

BARRS ET AL.

Examiner

Shew-Fen Lin

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/13/2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2166

Detail Action

- a. This action is responsive to communications: application filed on 1/13/2004.
- b. Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office Action. Claims 1, 9, and 15 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 15-20 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page 17, lines 10-20, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g. disk, memory) and intangible embodiments (e.g. radio frequency, light wave). As such, the claims are not limited to statutory subject matter and are therefore non-statutory. The claim will be favorably considered if "storage media" replaced the word "medium" in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2166

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham Mayor ("Automatically Backup Word Documents", 10/18/2003, http://gmayor.com/automatic_numbering_documents.htm, hereinafter referred as Mayor) in view of WindowsITPro ("More on Autosave, 6/2003, <http://www.windowsitpro.com/Windows/Article/ArticleID/38842/38842.html>).

As to claims 1, 9, and 15, Mayor discloses a system with methods /means / system in a data processing system for saving session data (backup word documents, title), the method comprising: responsive to a closing of a session for a program (save document, page 2, paragraph 3), placing session data associated with the program in a file in a selected location to form a stored file (define backup path and file name, page 2, paragraph 5, "Sub

Art Unit: 2166

SaveToTwoLocations”); and associating an identification with the stored file to identify the stored file in the selected location (backup file name with path, page 2, paragraph 5, “strFileB”).

Mayor discloses the elements of claims 1, 9, and 15 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose saving data while closing a session.

WindowsITPro discloses automatically save files when closing Word (paragraph 2, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Mayor’s disclosure to include Autosave when closing Word as taught by WindowsITPro for the purpose of avoiding existing Word without saving the work (paragraph 1, WindowsITPro). The skilled artisan would have been motivated to improve the invention of Mayor per the above such that any modification made on document will be saved automatically on closing/ exiting from Word (paragraph 2, WindowsITPro).

As to claims 2, 10, and 16, Mayor discloses responsive to an indication to save data generated using the program to a permanent storage (“save” function to save document, paragraph 7), placing current session data associated with the program in another file in the selected location to form another stored file (save to two locations, page 2, paragraph 3-5); and associating another identification to identify the another stored file in the selected location (backup file name with path, page 2, “strFileB”).

As to claims 3, 11, and 17, Mayor discloses wherein the session data includes memory segments related to the session for the program and process information related to the session for the program (both word document data and properties are saved, page 2, paragraph 5).

As to claims 4, 12, and 18, Mayor discloses the elements of claims 1, 9, and 15 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose wherein the closing of the session of the program occurs in response to at least one of a selection of an exit menu option and a window close input.

WindowsITPro discloses the closing of the session of the program occurs in response to an exit from Word (paragraph 1, lines 2-3).

As to claims 5, 13, and 19, Mayor discloses responsive to a selection of the identification of the stored file in the selected location, restoring the session using the stored file (similar to autorecover for word file, select any backup file to restore, page 1, paragraph 2).

As to claims 6, 14, and 20, Mayor discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the restoring step includes: initiating execution of the program using the session data in the stored file (word document properties is saved with the document, select the document will initiate the program and document, page 1, paragraph 2).

As to claim 7, Mayor discloses wherein the selected location is a location used to hold deleted files in a manner that allows for recovery of the deleted files at a later time (backup

Art Unit: 2166

directory can be specified in the way that can be used for recovery of the deleted files, page 3, paragraph 1).

As to **claim 8**, refer to “As to claims 1, 9, and 15” presented earlier in this Office Action. Further, Mayor teaches processing unit with memory (disk, page 3, paragraph 1), communication unit (display, pages 1-3) that executes the set of instructions (page 2) as described in claim 1.

Related Prior Arts

The following list of prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Ball, Thomas J. et al., US 20020120648 A1, “Identifying changes in on-line data repositories”, (...the system maintains an archive, which contains a copy of one version of each listed document, and material from which the other versions can be reconstructed.).
- Koshisaka; Yoshihiro, US 6629109 B1, “System and method of enabling file revision management of application software”, (...file revision management system for executing file revision management when an application operating on an operating system of a computer system saves a file by file overwrite includes a file manipulation monitoring section and a processing section implemented by memory-resident software).

Art Unit: 2166

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shew-Fen Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-2672. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shew-Fen Lin
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2166
June 20, 2006



**MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER**